

Sheaves in type theory: a model of uniform continuity

Martín Escardó

joint work with [Chuangjie Xu](#), University of Birmingham, UK

7th Scottish Category Theory Seminar, Friday 8th February 2013

Uniform continuity axiom (UC)

$$\forall f: 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \quad \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \quad \alpha =_n \beta \implies f(\alpha) = f(\beta).$$

All functions are uniformly continuous.

(This contradicts the principle of excluded middle.)

Uniform continuity axiom

$$\forall f: 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \quad \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \quad \alpha =_n \beta \implies f(\alpha) = f(\beta).$$

1. **True** in Brouwerian intuitionistic mathematics (INT).
2. **Independent** of
 - Bishop's mathematics (BISH),
 - higher-type Heyting arithmetic (HA^{ω}),
 - Martin-Löf's type theory (MLTT),

Becomes provably false if excluded middle is postulated.

Becomes provably true if Brouwerian axioms are postulated.

3. **Provably false** in Markov's constructive recursive mathematics (RUSS).
(Kleene tree.)

We can postulate the uniform continuity axiom (UC)

But we lose the computational content of constructive proofs (e.g. in MLTT).

Can we give computational meaning to it?

Can we extract computational content from constructive proofs that use UC?

Goal

Constructively build a model of some forms of constructive mathematics, including BISH , HA^ω , MLTT (and of course excluding RUSS):

1. in which the uniform continuity axiom holds,
2. but without assuming any constructively contentious axiom in the meta-language used to define the model.

Natural choice of a meta-language

Martin-Löf type theory with a universe, in its intensional form.

1. Sufficiently powerful.
2. Has a computational interpretation.
3. Implemented as a subset of various systems such as Coq, Lego, Agda.

We have **formalized** our construction of the model and proofs in **Agda**.

In this talk, however, I will use **informal**, rigorous mathematical language, trusting that the reader can recognize (non-)constructive arguments.

The important point is this

Because the meta-language has a computational interpretation, we don't need to write an algorithm to extract computational content from proofs that use UC.

We don't need to consider notions of computability to build the model.

The capability of performing computations is implicitly built-in in our meta-language.

Models of uniform continuity

Mike Fourman (1982) constructed sheaf models of uniform continuity.

Kripke–Joyal semantics for the quantifiers \forall, \exists .

Local truth.

Models of uniform continuity

Mike Fourman (1982) constructed sheaf models of uniform continuity.

Kripke–Joyal semantics for the quantifiers \forall, \exists .

Local truth.

We instead want Brouwer–Heyting–Kolmogorov semantics for the quantifiers:

$$\prod_{f: 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}} \sum_{n: \mathbb{N}} \prod_{\alpha, \beta: 2^{\mathbb{N}}} \alpha =_n \beta \implies f(\alpha) = f(\beta).$$

Sort of realizability interpretation.

Precursors of our work include

1. Johnstone's paper [On a topological topos](#) (1979).
2. Fourman's papers [Continuous truth](#) and [Notions of choice sequence](#) (1982).
3. van der Hoeven and Moerdijk's paper [Sheaf models for choice sequences](#) (1984).
4. Bauer and Simpson's unpublished work [Continuity begets continuity](#) (2006).

Also related to

1. Spanier's [quasi-topological spaces](#) (1961).
(Introduced for the purposes of homotopy theory.)
2. Hyland's description of the Kleene–Kreisel spaces as compactly generated spaces (1970's).

Remark

The model of Kleene–Kreisel continuous functionals validates UC.

(As is well known in the higher-type recursion theory literature.)

But its treatment is highly non-constructive.

Perhaps we are constructively capturing the KK-functionals. (Further work.)

Technical motivation: Spanier's quasi-topological spaces

Def. A quasi-topology on a set X assigns to each compact Hausdorff space K , a set $P(K, X)$ of *probes* $p: K \rightarrow X$, such that:

1. All constant maps are in $P(K, X)$.
2. If $t: K' \rightarrow K$ is continuous and $p \in P(K, X)$, then $p \circ t \in P(K', X)$.
(Presheaf condition.)
3. If $(t_i: K_i \rightarrow K)_{i \in I}$ is a finite, jointly surjective family and $p: K \rightarrow X$ is a function with $p \circ t_i \in P(K_i, X)$ for every $i \in I$, then $p \in P(K, X)$.
(Sheaf condition.)

Def. A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of quasi-topological spaces is continuous if $f \circ p \in P(K, Y)$ for every $p \in P(K, X)$.

(Naturality condition.)

Facts

1. Quasi-topological spaces form a cartesian closed category (Spanier 1961).
They have topological spaces as a (non-cartesian closed) full subcategory.
2. Their topological co-reflection gives the **compactly generated topological spaces**.
Which also form a cartesian closed category (Hurewicz 1958).
3. Quasi-topological spaces form a quasi-topos (Dubuc 1970's).
4. Quasi-topological spaces embed in a **“gros” topos**.
The quasi-topological spaces arise as the **“concrete” sheaves**.

Variations

1. Rather than all compact Hausdorff spaces, consider only one.

\mathbb{N}_∞ , the one-point compactification of discrete space \mathbb{N} .

One gets the Kuratowski limit spaces.

The topological reflection gives the sequential spaces.

The ambient topos is Johnstone's topological topos.

(Topos considered by Bauer and Simpson.)

2. Again consider only one space, but forget compactness.

$\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, the Baire space.

Topos considered by Fourman, and by van der Hoeven and Moerdijk.

Our variation

Consider only one compact Hausdorff space, the Cantor space $2^{\mathbb{N}}$.

We now describe the (concrete) sheaves in a way amenable for treatment in MLTT.

But informally, as discussed before.

Underlying category of the site

The monoid \mathcal{C} of uniformly continuous maps $t: 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Presheaves

A presheaf can be described as a set P equipped with an action

$$\begin{aligned} P \times C &\rightarrow P \\ (p, t) &\mapsto p \cdot t \end{aligned}$$

satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} p \cdot \text{id} &= p, \\ p \cdot (t \circ u) &= (p \cdot t) \cdot u. \end{aligned}$$

Natural transformation

A natural transformation of presheaves (P, \cdot) and (Q, \cdot) is a function $f: P \rightarrow Q$ that preserves the action:

$$f(x \cdot t) = (fx) \cdot t.$$

The coverage

1. Let 2^n denote the set of binary strings of length n .
2. For $s \in 2^n$, let $\text{cons}_s: 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ denote the concatenation map

$$\text{cons}_s(\alpha) = s\alpha.$$

For each natural number n we have the covering family $(\text{cons}_s)_{s \in 2^n}$.

1. Jointly surjective.
2. Disjoint images.
(Simplifies the amalgamation property in the definition of sheaf.)

The coverage axiom

We need to check that for every $t \in C$,

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}. \exists n \in \mathbb{N}. \forall s \in 2^n. \exists t' \in C. \exists s' \in 2^m. t \circ \text{cons}_s = \text{cons}_{s'} \circ t'.$$

But this is equivalent to the uniform continuity of every $t \in C$, and hence holds.

Sheaves

A presheaf (P, \cdot) is a sheaf if and only if

For any n and any family $(p_s \in P)_{s \in 2^n}$, there is a unique $p \in P$ with

$$p \cdot \text{cons}_s = p_s.$$

It suffices to check the case $n = 1$

A presheaf (P, \cdot) is a sheaf if and only if

For any two $p_0, p_1 \in P$ there is a unique $p \in P$ with

$$p \cdot \text{cons}_0 = p_0, \quad p \cdot \text{cons}_1 = p_1$$

This is good for *checking* that a presheaf is a sheaf.

The case for arbitrary n is good when we *use* sheaves.

Concrete sheaf

A sheaf (P, \cdot) where the action $P \times C \rightarrow P$ is function composition.

Then P must be a set of functions $2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow X$ for a suitable set X .

Concrete sheaves can be described as C -spaces

Def. A C -topology on a set X is a collection P of *probes* $2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow X$ subject to the following conditions:

1. All constant maps are in P .
2. If $t: 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly continuous and $p \in P$, then $p \circ t \in P$.
(Presheaf condition.)
3. For any two maps $p_0, p_1 \in P$, the unique map $p: 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow X$ defined by $p(i * \alpha) = p_i(\alpha)$ is in P .
(Sheaf condition.)

A C -space is a set X equipped with C -topology.

A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of C -spaces is continuous if $f \circ p \in P_Y$ whenever $p \in P_X$.
(Naturality condition.)

Compare with Spanier's quasi-topological spaces

Def. A quasi-topology on a set X assigns to each compact Hausdorff space K , a set $P(K, X)$ of *probes* $p: K \rightarrow X$, such that:

1. All constant maps are in $P(K, X)$.
2. If $t: K' \rightarrow K$ is continuous and $p \in P(K, X)$, then $p \circ t \in P(K', X)$.
(Presheaf condition.)
3. If $(t_i: K_i \rightarrow K)_{i \in I}$ is a finite, jointly surjective family and $p: K \rightarrow X$ is a function with $p \circ t_i \in P(K_i, X)$ for every $i \in I$, then $p \in P(K, X)$.
(Sheaf condition.)

Def. A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of quasi-topological spaces is continuous if $f \circ p \in P(K, Y)$ for every $p \in P(K, X)$.

(Naturality condition.)

Concrete sheaves are the same thing as C -spaces

The following two categories are equivalent:

1. Concrete sheaves with natural transformations.
2. C -spaces with continuous maps.

C -spaces form a locally cartesian closed category

The constructions are the same as in the category of sets, with suitable C -topologies.

For example,

1. to get products, we C -topologize cartesian products,
2. to get exponentials, we C -topologize the sets of continuous maps.

These constructions are *different* from those needed to get cartesian closedness of sheaves, but isomorphic.

They are simpler, which is good for our formalization purposes.

Discrete C -spaces

Def. A C -space X is discrete if for every C -space Y , all functions $X \rightarrow Y$ are continuous.

Def. A map $p: 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow X$ into a set X is called *locally constant* iff $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}. \forall \alpha, \beta \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}. \alpha =_n \beta \implies p(\alpha) = p(\beta)$.

Lemma

1. The locally constant functions from $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ into a set X form a C -topology on X .
2. For any C -topology P on X , every locally constant function $2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow X$ is in P .

That is, the locally constant maps $2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow X$ form the smallest C -topology on X .

Lemma

A C -space is discrete iff its probes are precisely the locally constant functions.

Def. We thus refer to the collection of locally constant maps $2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow X$ as the *discrete C -topology* on X .

Natural numbers object

The discrete C -topology on $\mathbf{2}$ or \mathbb{N} is the set of uniformly continuous maps.

Theorem In the category of C -spaces:

1. The coproduct of two copies of the terminal space $\mathbf{1}$ is the discrete space $\mathbf{2}$.
2. The discrete space \mathbb{N} of natural numbers is the natural numbers object.

Proof The unique maps g and h in \mathbf{Set} in the diagrams below are continuous by the discreteness of \mathbb{N} and $\mathbf{2}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathbb{N} & \xrightarrow{\text{suc}} & \mathbb{N} \\
 & \nearrow 0 & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 & & h & & h \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathbf{1} & \xrightarrow{x} & X & \xrightarrow{f} & X
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathbf{1} & \xrightarrow{\text{in}_0} & \mathbf{2} & \xleftarrow{\text{in}_1} & \mathbf{1} \\
 & \searrow g_0 & & & \downarrow g & & \swarrow g_1 \\
 & & & & X & &
 \end{array}$$

Model of system \mathbb{T}

At this stage we have all is needed to model \mathbb{T} :

1. Natural numbers object.
2. Cartesian closed structure (simply typed λ -calculus).

(The local cartesian closed structure allows to further model dependent types.)

The Fan functional

The Yoneda embedding maps the monoid C to C -spaces.

$y(\star) = 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ = exponential of discrete spaces in the category C -space.

The Yoneda Lemma says that, for any C -space X , a map $2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow X$ is a probe iff it is continuous in the sense of the category C -Space.

Lemma (Slightly non-trivial)

The exponential $\mathbb{N}^{2^{\mathbb{N}}}$ is a discrete C -space.

Theorem There is a continuous *Fan functional* $\text{fan}: \mathbb{N}^{2^{\mathbb{N}}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ that calculates (minimal) moduli of uniform continuity.

Proof Because any $f \in \mathbb{N}^{2^{\mathbb{N}}}$ is uniformly continuous, we can let $\text{fan}(f)$ be the least witness of this fact. By the lemma, fan is continuous.

Uniform continuity of Gödel's system \mathbb{T} definable functions

Corollary Any \mathbb{T} -definable function $2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ in Set is uniformly continuous.

Prof Define a “logical relation” between the Set and $C\text{-Space}$ interpretations of \mathbb{T} .

End and speculation

1. The above development has been formalized in (the MLTT fragment of) Agda.

Except the model of dependent types, but there are no foreseen difficulties.

(And we amused ourselves defining system T functions and computing their moduli of continuity with Agda using our model.)

2. Can this be refined to a model with both UC and Voevodsky's univalence?

We would need to both topologize a universe and do some homotopy theory. Or at least give it the structure of a sheaf.

Is the category of C -spaces amenable for a (constructive) development of homotopy (type) theory?

Do C -spaces admit a suitable Quillen model structure?